



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----X  
ANITA HUNTER, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

CITIBANK, N.A., *et al.*,

Defendants.  
-----

Case No. 09-md-02028-JW

Case No. 09-CV-02079-JW

3/2/2011

**REVISED ORDER PRELIMINARY APPROVING THE WAVE III CLASS**

**SETTLEMENT WITH CITIBANK, N.A.**

WHEREAS, Class Representatives, on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class (as defined below), have entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Settlement") with Citibank, N.A.;

WHEREAS, the Settlement is intended to settle and release any claims that the Class Representatives, the Settlement Class, and the Trustee in the related bankruptcy proceedings have against Citibank, N.A. ("Citibank") and the Released Parties as defined in the Settlement;

WHEREAS, the Settlement is subject to review and Court approval under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

WHEREAS, the parties to the Settlement have consented to the entry of this Order; and

WHEREAS, the Court having read and considered the Settlement, the Memorandum in Support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval and the supporting Declaration,

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, THAT:**

1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval is GRANTED. Pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of this Settlement only, this action is hereby certified as a class action with class members consisting of the Settlement Class, defined as follows:

All Persons<sup>1</sup> who were customers of 1031 Advance 132 LLC, 1031 Advance, Inc., 1031 TG Oak Harbor, LLC, AEC Exchange Company, LLC, Atlantic Exchange Company, Inc., Atlantic Exchange Company, LLC, Investment Exchange Group, LLC, National Exchange Accommodators LLC, National Exchange Services QI, Ltd., NRC 1031 LLC, Real Estate Exchange Services, Inc., Rutherford Investment, LLC, Security 1031 Services, LLC, Shamrock Holdings Group, LLC, and/or The 1031 Tax Group, LLC, including any subsidiaries or affiliates of any of those entities engaged in business as Qualified Intermediaries pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 1031, as well as such customers' assignees, and who suffered loss or damages or allegedly suffered loss or damages in any way, directly or indirectly, related to or arising out of (a) the failure of any of the entities listed above, including their subsidiaries or affiliates, (b) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the First Amended Complaint in the Action entitled 'Anita Hunter, et al. v. Edward Okun, et al.', United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. C 0702795 JW, (c) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Complaint in the Action entitled 'Quirk Infiniti, Inc. v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.', United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Case No. 1:08-12060, (d) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint in the Action entitled 'Anita Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.', United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. C 09-02079 JW, or (e) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Amended Complaint in the Action entitled 'McHale v. Citibank, N.A.' United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, Adv. Pro. No. 09-01218.

2. The Court finds, for the purposes of this Settlement only, that the prerequisites of Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied in that: (a) the number of Settlement Class members is so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the named representatives are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual Settlement Class members; and (f) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, Anita Hunter, Johnna Bozzo (also known as Johnna Bozza), Celltex Site

<sup>1</sup> "Persons" means an individual, a corporation, a partnership, a joint venture, an association, a joint stock company, a limited liability company, a limited liability partnership, an estate, an unincorporated organization, a trust, a class or group of individuals, or any other entity or organization, including any federal, state, or local governmental or quasi-governmental body or political subdivision, department, agency, instrumentality thereof or any other legal entity that could sue or be sued.

1 Services, Ltd., Grande Investment, LLC, Quirk Infiniti, Inc., Michael Whitton, and Sadi Suhweil  
2 are certified as Class Representatives.

3 4. A hearing (the "Fairness Hearing") pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of  
4 Civil Procedure is hereby scheduled to be held before the Court on June 27, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., to  
5 consider Class Counsel's motion for final approval, and for the following purposes:

6 (a) to finally determine whether this action satisfies the applicable  
7 prerequisites for class action treatment for settlement purposes only under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3)  
8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

9 (b) to determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and  
10 should be approved by the Court;

11 (c) to determine whether the Order Approving Settlement and a Final  
12 Judgment should be entered, dismissing the action as against Citibank and the Released Parties to  
13 be released by the Class Representatives and the Settlement Class, on the merits and with  
14 prejudice;

15 (d) to determine whether the Bar Order described in section 3 of the Settlement  
16 should be issued in favor of Citibank and the Released Parties to be released by the Class  
17 Representatives and the Settlement Class; and

18 (e) to rule upon such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

19 5. The Court understands that a hearing will be held in the Bankruptcy Court to  
20 consider whether to enter the Bankruptcy Court Approval Order.

21 6. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement with or without further  
22 notice of any kind and, subject to the consent of the parties to the Settlement, with or without  
23 modification.

24 7. The Court further reserves the right to enter Judgments and dismiss the action as  
25 against Citibank and the Released Parties to be released by the Class Representatives and the  
26

1 Settlement Class, on the merits and with prejudice regardless of whether it has approved any  
2 distribution of the Settlement funds to Settlement Class members or applications for attorneys'  
3 fees and expenses.

4 8. The Court approves as sufficient notice to Settlement Class members, pursuant to  
5 Rule 23(c)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Notice of Pendency of Class Action  
6 and Proposed Settlement (the "Notice") attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and this Order (collectively,  
7 the "Notice Documents").

8 9. The Court finds that the mailing and distribution of the Notice Documents and  
9 publishing of the Summary Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in this Order  
10 meets the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and due process, is the best notice practicable under  
11 the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

12 10. The Court sets the following schedule:

13 (a) The deadline for mailing the Notice Documents shall be ten (10) days from  
14 the date of this Order, or March 11, 2011.

15  
16 (b) The deadline for requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class and the  
17 deadline for filing objections to the Settlement, including any objections to the proposed bar  
18 orders in favor of Citibank, shall be April 12, 2011 (30 days after the deadline for mailing in  
19 paragraph 10(a) above). Settlement Class members shall be bound by the Settlement unless such  
20 persons request exclusion from the Settlement Class by that date. Requests for exclusion and  
21 objections to the Settlement must be served upon counsel for the Class Representatives at the  
22 addresses set forth in the Notice Documents. Objections to the Settlement shall also be filed with  
23 the Court.

24 (c) The deadline for Class Counsel to file a motion for the payment of Wave  
25 III attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of costs shall be thirty-five (35) days before the  
26 Fairness Hearing, or May 23, 2011.

27  
28 (d) The deadline for Settlement Class members or any other interested party to

1 file and serve objections, if any, to Class Counsels' request for the payment of Wave III  
2 attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of costs shall be twenty-one (21) days before the Fairness  
3 Hearing, or June 6, 2011. Such Objections must be served upon counsel for the Class  
4 Representatives at the addresses set forth in the Notice Documents and also be filed with the  
5 Court.

6 11. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved,  
7 persons releasing claims pursuant to the Settlement, including Settlement Class members who  
8 have not requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, and each of them, and anyone who acts  
9 or purports to act on their behalf, shall not institute, commence or prosecute any of the claims to  
10 be released against any of the parties to be released.

11 12. If pursuant to the Settlement terms, the Settlement is terminated, then this Order  
12 shall be null and void and of no further force or effect, and may not be introduced as evidence or  
13 referred to in any actions or proceedings (including the related actions) by any person or entity,  
14 and each party to the terminated Settlement shall be restored to his, her or its respective position  
15 as existed prior to execution of the terminated Settlement. Without limiting the foregoing, if the  
16 Settlement is terminated, the Settlement Class certification shall automatically be vacated.

17  
18 DATED: March 2, 2011

  
HONORABLE JAMES WARE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

# EXHIBIT 1

# EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: EDWARD H. OKUN	) MDL 5:09-md-02028 JW
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	) Case No. 07-CV-2795-JW
TAX DEFERRED EXCHANGE	)
LITIGATION	)
Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.	) Case No. 09-CV-2079-JW

SUMMARY NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION AND WAVE III SETTLEMENTS

To: All Persons<sup>1</sup> who were customers of 1031 Advance 132 LLC, 1031 Advance, Inc., 1031 TG Oak Harbor, LLC, AEC Exchange Company, LLC, Atlantic Exchange Company, Inc., Atlantic Exchange Company, LLC, Investment Exchange Group, LLC, National Exchange Accommodators LLC, National Exchange Services QI, Ltd., NRC 1031 LLC, Real Estate Exchange Services, Inc., Rutherford Investment, LLC, Security 1031 Services, LLC, Shamrock Holdings Group, LLC, and/or The 1031 Tax Group, LLC, including any subsidiaries or Affiliates of any of those entities engaged in business as Qualified Intermediaries pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 1031, as well as such customers' assignees, and who suffered loss or damages or allegedly suffered loss or damages in any way, directly or indirectly, related to or arising out of (a) the failure of any of the entities listed above, including their subsidiaries or Affiliates; (b) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the First Amended Complaint in the Action entitled *Anita Hunter, et al. v. Edward Okun, et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. C 07-02795 JW; (c) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Complaint in the Action entitled *Quirk Infiniti, Inc. v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.*, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Case No. 1:08-12060; (d) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint in the Action entitled *Anita Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. C 09-02079 JW; or (e) any of the events, acts or conduct alleged in the Amended Complaint in the Action entitled *McHale v. Citibank, N.A.*, Adv. Pro. No. 09-01218 (MG) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> "Persons" means an individual, a corporation, a partnership, a joint venture, an association, a joint stock company, a limited liability company, a limited liability partnership, an estate, an unincorporated organization, a trust, a class or group of individuals, or any other entity or organization, including any federal, state, or local governmental or quasi-governmental body or political subdivision, department, agency, instrumentality thereof or any other legal entity that could sue or be sued.

<sup>2</sup> The class definition set forth above is the class definition contained in the Citibank Settlement. The class definition set forth in the other Wave III Settling Defendants' settlements uses language which is slightly different from that used in the Citibank Settlement, largely in order to tailor the definition to the particular claims being settled. Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class submits that while the claims being settled by each agreement differ, the persons and/or entities encompassed by each settlement's Settlement Class definition are the same. The agreements' definitions of Settlement Class are set forth in each of the Wave III Settlement agreements.



YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and an Order of the Court, that, in the above-captioned actions, five (5) separate settlements (the “Wave III Settlements”) have been preliminarily approved by the Court and a Settlement Class (the “Class”) has been certified with respect to these Settlements. The Wave III Settlements bind the following parties:

1. Class members;
2. Gerard A. McHale, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee (the “Trustee”) for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust (the “1031 Trust”);<sup>3</sup> and
3. The Defendants referred to as the “Wave III Settling Defendants” who are (i) Kutak Rock, LLP (“Kutak” as defined in the applicable Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement) and Joseph O. Kavan (“Kavan” as defined in the applicable Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement) (Kutak and Kavan collectively referred to as the “Kutak Parties”), (ii) Citibank, N.A. (“Citibank”), (iii) Foley & Lardner, LLP and Steven Burr (collectively the “Foley Defendants”), (iv) Roy S. MacDowell, Jr. and the Boulder Entity Defendants (collectively the “Boulder Defendants”), and (v) Jorden Burt, LLP and Richard Simring.

The Wave III Settlements will total \$21,975,000, with the Kutak Parties collectively contributing \$8,975,000, Citibank contributing \$5,900,000, the Foley Defendants contributing \$1,700,000, the Boulder Defendants contributing \$2,000,000, and, Jorden Burt and Richard Simring contributing \$3,400,000. These settlements will add to the Wave I and Wave II Settlements of which you were previously notified. The Wave III Settling Defendants deny any wrongdoing, fault, liability or damage to the Class or the Trustee and deny that they acted improperly in any way. In view, however, of the uncertainty and expense of litigation, the Wave III Settling Defendants have agreed to pay these amounts in exchange for, among other things, a full, final and complete release of, among other claims, all asserted and unasserted claims against them and Rule 23 Bar Orders (the “Order and Final Judgment”) protecting them from any further or potential claims.

A Hearing will be held before United States District Judge James Ware, at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, United States Courthouse,

---

<sup>3</sup> The 1031 Trust was established in related Bankruptcy proceedings in the Southern District of New York, *In re The 1031 Tax Group, LLC, et al.*, No. 07-B-11448 (MG) (S.D.N.Y.) with respect to the “1031 Debtors,” which include: The 1031 Tax Group, LLC; 1031 Advance 132 LLC; 1031 Advance, Inc.; 1031 TG Oak Harbor LLC; Atlantic Exchange Company, Inc.; Atlantic Exchange Company LLC; Investment Exchange Group, LLC; National Exchange Accommodators, LLC; National Exchange Services QI, Ltd.; NRC 1031, LLC; Real Estate Exchange Services, Inc.; Rutherford Investment LLC; Security 1031 Services, LLC; Shamrock Holdings Group, LLC; and AEC Exchange Company LLC.



280 South First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, at 9:00 a.m. on June 27, 2011 to determine, among other things, whether the Wave III Settlements should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

IF YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THE CLASS DESCRIBED ABOVE, YOUR RIGHTS WILL BE AFFECTED AND YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO SHARE IN THE SETTLEMENTS.

This notice provides a brief description of the Wave III Settlements and may address some of your questions. The settlement agreements between the Class Representatives, the Trustee and the Wave III Settling Defendants (the "Wave III Settlement Agreements") set forth the settling parties' entire agreement, and are available for your review at [www.hbsb.com](http://www.hbsb.com), under the link for "Class Actions." The Court's Orders granting preliminary approval to each of the Wave III Settlements, which are included herewith, set forth specific deadlines by which you must act and procedures for you to follow should you not wish to participate in the Wave III Settlements or if you wish to raise objections to any of them. You should review all of the documents provided with this notice and, if you have any questions that remain unanswered, you should contact counsel for the Class at the address listed below for additional information.

1. Why did I get this notice package?

Records indicate that you may be a member of the Class described above and may have suffered injury based on the events alleged in this lawsuit.

2. What is this lawsuit about?

This lawsuit arises from the failure of certain Exchange Entities and their failure to complete Internal Revenue Code Section 1031 exchange transactions.

3. What are the terms of the settlements?

The Trustee and Class Representatives have asserted and/or have been considering whether to assert various claims against the Wave III settling Defendants (the "Litigation Claims"). The Wave III Settling Defendants deny any wrongdoing, fault, liability or damage to the Class Representatives, the Class or the Trustee, deny that they engaged in any wrongdoing or acted improperly in any way, and deny any liability arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in connection with the Litigation Claims. But in an effort to avoid the uncertainty and expense of litigation, the Kutak Parties have agreed to collectively contribute \$8,975,000, Citibank has agreed to pay a total of \$5,900,000, the Foley Defendants will pay \$1,700,000, the Boulder Defendants will contribute \$2,000,000, and, Jorden Burt and Richard Simring will pay \$3,400,000, as provided for in the Wave III Settlement Agreements.

In exchange for entering into the Wave III Settlements, the Wave III Settling Defendants will each obtain, among other things, a full release from the Class Representatives, the Class, the 1031 Trust, and the Trustee of, among other things, all asserted and unasserted claims and a bar order precluding further claims, both known and unknown, against the Wave III Settling Defendants arising out of, related to, or in any way connected to the Litigation Claims. In addition, each Class member, the Trustee, the 1031 Trust, and the Wave III Settling Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Order and Final Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by Cal. Civ. Code § 1542 and by any law, rule or regulation of any state or territory of the United States or any other country, or principle of common or civil law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to Cal. Civ. Code § 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO  
CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW  
OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME  
OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN  
BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS  
SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

It is the intention of the parties that, notwithstanding the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code § 1542 or any similar provisions, rights or benefits conferred by law, and notwithstanding the possibility that the Class Representatives, Class members, the Trustee, the Wave III Settling Defendants or their counsel may discover or gain a more complete understanding of the facts, events or law that, if presently known or fully understood, would have affected the decision to enter into the Wave III Settlements, any and all released claims, including unknown claims, shall be fully, finally and forever settled.

The Wave III Settlements are conditioned on the occurrence of certain events described in the Wave III Settlement Agreements, which are available for your review at [www.hbsb.com](http://www.hbsb.com). Those events include, among others: (i) the Court's entry of the Order and Final Judgment, and (ii) exhaustion of all rights to appeal from or alter or amend the Order and Final Judgment, or expiration of the time to appeal from or alter or amend the Order and Final Judgment. If, for any reason, any one of the conditions described in the Wave III Settlement Agreements is not met, the Wave III Settlements might be terminated and, if terminated, will become null and void, and the parties to the agreements will be restored to their respective pre-settlement litigation positions. The full terms of the Wave III Settlements are set forth in the Wave III Settlement Agreements, which are available for your review at [www.hbsb.com](http://www.hbsb.com). You should read the Wave III Settlement Agreements in their entirety. They contain other important terms.

The Wave III Settlements will not resolve claims against the other non-settling defendants and litigation will continue against those defendants.

4. If I do not want to share in the \$21,975,000, how do I exclude myself?

To exclude yourself, you must notify the Court through Plaintiffs' counsel at the addresses identified below *in writing no later than April 12, 2011*.

Your request for exclusion **must** contain: (1) the name of the lawsuits which are (i) *IN RE: EDWARD H. OKUN INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TAX DEFERRED EXCHANGE LITIGATION*, MDL 5:09-md-02028 JW, Case No. 07-CV-2795-JW, and (ii) *Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-2079-JW; (2) your full name and current address; (3) a clear statement of intention to exclude yourself such as "I wish to be excluded from the Wave III Settlements"; (4) the addresses of your relinquished and replacement properties; (5) the amount of money you lost; and (6) your signature and the date you signed the request. Requests for exclusions **must be postmarked 1<sup>st</sup> Class Mail no later than April 12, 2011** and sent to:

United States District Court for the Northern District of California  
Re: The 1031 Tax Group Litigation  
c/o Hollister & Brace, P.O. Box 630, Santa Barbara, CA 93102

5. Can I object to the terms of the Wave III Settlements?

If you exclude yourself, or "opt-out" of the Wave III Settlements you are not entitled to object. If you do not exclude yourself, or "opt-out," from the Wave III Settlements, you are entitled to object to the terms of the Wave III Settlements to explain to the Court why one or more of them should not be approved. The Court will consider your views. To object, you must write a letter stating: (1) that you object to the Wave III Settlements in the matters styled (i) *IN RE: EDWARD H. OKUN INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TAX DEFERRED EXCHANGE LITIGATION*, MDL 5:09-md-02028 JW, Case No. 07-CV-2795-JW, and (ii) *Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-2079-JW; (2) your full name and current address; (3) a clear statement of why you object; (4) the addresses of your relinquished and replacement properties; (5) the amount of money you lost; and (6) your signature and the date you signed the request.

Objections **must be postmarked 1<sup>st</sup> Class Mail no later than April 12, 2011** and mailed to all of the below:

Clerk of the Court  
United States District Court for the Northern District of California,  
United States Courthouse, 280 South First Street, San Jose, CA 95113

Robert L. Brace  
Michael P. Denver  
Hollister & Brace  
P.O. Box 630, Santa Barbara, CA 93102

6. If I participate in the partial settlements, must I participate in future settlements?

No. In the event that settlements are reached with additional defendants in the future, you will have the opportunity to decide whether to participate in such settlements. Your decision to participate in these settlements shall not preclude you from electing to decline to participate in future settlements, if any, should you choose.

7. If I participate in the partial settlements, how much money will I get?

If the Wave III Settlements receive final approval and go forward, payments totaling \$21,975,000 will be made in accordance with the Wave III Settlement Agreements. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the Class and the Trustee (the "Class/Trustee Agreement") which was approved in Wave I, the Wave III \$21,975,000 will be allocated 50% (\$10,987,500) to the Trustee, and 50% (\$10,987,500) to the Class. The \$10,987,500 allocated to the Trustee on behalf of the Estates will have a *de minimis* effect on the distributions made to the Class - whose claims constitute over ninety-nine percent (99%) of the general, unsecured creditor body of the Estates. As to the \$10,987,500 allocated to the Class, the Court will be asked to approve a plan for distribution. It is anticipated that the plan will provide for pro rata distribution of the recovery (minus any Court-approved fees or other expenses) to Class members based upon the amount of money that Class members deposited with the Exchange Entities and lost when the Exchange Entities failed.

8. How do I know how much of the partial settlements might be allocated to fees or other expenses?

Pursuant to the terms of the Class/Trustee Agreement, \$10,987,500 of the settlement amounts to be paid by the Wave III Settling Defendants are allocated to the class action, and Class Counsel has agreed that any claim for attorney's fees and reimbursement of costs will be based upon that amount. Any payment of Class Counsels' incurred fees and expenses must be approved by the Court. Class Counsel intends to seek an award of Wave III costs not to exceed \$500,000, and, an award of attorneys' fees equal to 25% of the "net" amount allocated to the class. The "net" amount is the gross \$10,987,500 allocated to the class, less the claimed costs not to exceed \$500,000, multiplied by .25. Thus, if Wave III costs total \$500,000, the "net" 25% fee would be \$10,987,500 less \$500,000, multiplied by .25, or \$2,621,875. You should be aware of the following facts with respect to this request. Pursuant to the terms of the Class/Trustee Agreement, Class Counsel agreed to restrictions on the amount of attorneys' fees it would seek from the amount allocated to the class action, and the 25% fee figure set forth above meets the limitations set forth in the Class/Trustee agreement. A copy of the Class/Trustee agreement is available on Class Counsel website at the internet address listed in question 9 below.

Class Counsel shall file a motion for the payment of Wave III attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of costs by May 23, 2011. A copy of the motion will be posed on Class Counsel's web-site, the address for which is [www.hbsb.com](http://www.hbsb.com). **The deadline for you to object to Class Counsels' request for the payment of Wave III attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of costs shall be June 6, 2011.**

To object, you must write a letter stating: (1) that you object to Class Counsels' request for the payment of Wave III attorneys' fees and the reimbursement of costs in the matters styled (i) *IN RE: EDWARD H. OKUN INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TAX DEFERRED EXCHANGE LITIGATION*, MDL 5:09-md-02028 JW, Case No. 07-CV-2795-JW, and (ii) *Hunter, et al. v. Citibank, N.A., et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-2079-JW; (2) your full name and current address; (3) a clear statement of why you object; (4) the addresses of your relinquished and replacement properties; (5) the amount of money you lost; and (6) your signature and the date you signed the request.

Objections ***must be postmarked 1<sup>st</sup> Class Mail no later than June 6, 2011*** and mailed to all of the below:

Clerk of the Court  
United States District Court for the Northern District of California,  
United States Courthouse, 280 South First Street, San Jose, CA 95113

Robert L. Brace  
Michael P. Denver  
Hollister & Brace  
P.O. Box 630, Santa Barbara, CA 93102

9. What should I do if I have questions?
--

Certain documents and other information are also available on the Internet at the website of Hollister & Brace.

If you have any questions concerning any matter raised in this notice, or wish to provide us with your current name or address, please visit Hollister & Brace's website or write to Class Counsel at:

HOLLISTER & BRACE  
Robert L. Brace and Michael P. Denver  
P.O. Box 630  
Santa Barbara, CA 93102  
(805) 963-6711

**PLEASE DO NOT CALL OR WRITE TO THE COURT  
FOR INFORMATION OR ADVICE**

OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA